



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

JOHN T. WINBURN  
100 BOSCH BOULEVARD  
NEW BERN NC 28562

**COPY MAILED**

**JUN 21 2006**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of	:	
Gundula CZYZEWSKI et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITIONS
Application No. 10/758,872	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.183 AND
Filed: January 16, 2004	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)
Attorney Docket No. 2001P12008WOUS	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.183, filed March 20, 2006, requesting waiver of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) as that rule operates in conjunction with 37 CFR 1.17(t), and a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), filed April 28, 2006, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 365(c) for the benefit of priority to prior-filed PCT Application No. PCT/EP02/05414, filed May 16, 2002, set forth in the accompanying Application Data Sheet.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.183 is **DISMISSED** as moot in view of the request filed April 28, 2006, withdrawing the petition to waive the surcharge fee requirement of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3). No fee has been assessed to petitioner's deposit account for the petition under 37 CFR 1.183.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is **GRANTED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.


All the above requirements having been satisfied, the late claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 365(c) is accepted as being unintentionally delayed.

**The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed application under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) should not be construed as meaning that the application is entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application. In order for the application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(1) and (a)(2) must be met. Similarly, the fact that the corrected Filing Receipt accompanying this decision on petition includes the prior-filed application should not be construed as meaning that applicant is entitled to the claim for benefit of priority to the prior-filed application noted thereon. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether the application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.**

A corrected Filing Receipt, which includes the priority claim to the prior-filed application, accompanies this decision on petition.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to David Bucci at (571) 272-7099. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being forwarded to the examiner of Technology Center Art Unit 1746 for appropriate action on the amendment filed April 28, 2006, including consideration of applicant's entitlement to claim benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 365(c) to the above-noted, prior-filed PCT application.

  
Brian Hearn  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions

**ATTACHMENT:** Corrected Filing Receipt